



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Monty Montemayor  
DOCKET NO.: 24-01504.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 09-25-205-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Monty Montemayor, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,681  
**IMPR.:** \$102,058  
**TOTAL:** \$117,739

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 1,952 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling is approximately 20 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 460 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 7,500 square foot site and is located in Wauconda, Wauconda Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located in a different assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 9,910 to 117,969 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,020 to 2,302 square feet of living area

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject is found in the property record card provided by the board of review, that was not refuted by the appellant.

that range in age from 20 to 48 years old. The appellant reported that each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning and a garage containing 462 or 960 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables sold from April to December 2023 for prices ranging from \$291,000 to \$375,000 or from \$137.59 to \$178.40 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$117,739. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$353,252 or \$180.97 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>2</sup>

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a Multiple Listing Service sheet on the subject disclosing that it sold October 2020 for \$319,900.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales, comparable sales #2 and #3 are the same properties as the appellant's comparable sales #1 and #5. The comparables are located in a different assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 6,211 to 145,330 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of vinyl or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,897 to 2,102 square feet of living area that range in age from 18 to 47 years old. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area and central air conditioning. Two comparables each have one or two fireplaces and two comparables each have a garage containing 462 or 960 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May to July 2023 for prices ranging from \$349,900 to \$375,000 or from \$175.74 to \$184.45 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable sales for the Board's consideration, with two comparable sales being common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparable sales #1, #2, #3 and #4 as well as board of review comparable sale #3, which includes one common comparable, due to their older ages when compared to the subject.

---

<sup>2</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2024.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #5 along with board of review comparable sales #1 and #2, which includes one common comparable. The Board finds that these two comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and some features. However, one of the comparables lack a garage and the other comparable lacks a finished basement area, suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make these two comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these two comparables sold in June and July 2023 for prices of \$349,900 and \$355,000 or \$175.74 and \$184.45 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$353,252 or \$180.97 per square foot of living area, including land, is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record on an overall market value basis but falls below on a price per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

November 25, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Monty Montemayor, by attorney:  
Ronald Kingsley  
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC  
40 Landover Parkway  
Suite 3  
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085