



## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rebecca Solano  
DOCKET NO.: 24-01495.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 08-09-104-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rebecca Solano, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,781  
**IMPR.:** \$77,389  
**TOTAL:** \$93,170

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,586 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1959 and is approximately 65 years old that has an effective age/year built of 1980.<sup>1</sup> Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 528 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 8,545 square foot site and is located in Waukegan, Waukegan Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within .74 of a mile from the subject property. The

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best evidence of the properties age/effective age was found in the property record card presented by the board of review noting that the property was completely rehabbed in 2022 which was not refuted by the appellant in any rebuttal period.

comparables have sites that range in size from 6,586 to 9,043 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,446 to 1,889 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 51 to 75 years old. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 638 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2023 to July 2024 for prices ranging from \$240,000 to \$290,000 or from \$140.29 to \$185.90 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$93,170. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$279,538 or \$176.25 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>2</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. Comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The comparables are located in the same assessment neighborhood as the subject and within .72 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 7,077 to 10,755 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,344 to 1,608 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 50 to 83 years old. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 360 to 441 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from May 2022 to July 2024 for prices ranging from \$240,000 to \$315,000 or from \$165.63 to \$195.90 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five comparable sales for the Board's consideration, with one comparable being common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparable #1 and of review comparables #1 and #2 due to their older age, larger dwelling size and/or finished basement when compared to the subject.

---

<sup>2</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2024.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparables #2 and #4 along with appellant's comparable #3/board of review comparable #3. The Board finds that these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, land area, age, dwelling size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold in March 2023 and July 2024 for prices of \$240,000 and \$290,000 or ranging from \$165.63 to \$185.90 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$279,538 or \$176.25 per square foot of living area, including land, is supported by the most similar comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 20, 2026



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

**PARTIES OF RECORD**

**AGENCY**

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

**APPELLANT**

Rebecca Solano, by attorney:  
Ronald Kingsley  
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC  
40 Landover Parkway  
Suite 3  
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

**COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085