



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Marianne Gunter  
DOCKET NO.: 24-01297.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 02-19-205-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marianne Gunter, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,383  
**IMPR.:** \$70,275  
**TOTAL:** \$86,658

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of an approximately 59,094 square foot site improved with a 1-story dwelling of wood siding exterior containing 1,226 square feet of living area and is approximately 46 years old. Features of the home include 2 bathrooms, a partially finished basement,<sup>1</sup> central air conditioning, 1 fireplace, and a garage containing 600 square feet of building area. The property is located in Antioch, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis with information on five comparable sales located within 1.52

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant reported that the subject dwelling and the comparables have unfinished basements while the board of review disclosed that the subject has a partially finished basement. Given that the board of review submitted a property record card for the subject property and the appellant did not contest the board of review description of the subject, the Board will adopt the board of review's description of the subject dwelling.

miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 9,901 to 50,699 square feet of land area that are improved with 1-story dwellings of wood frame construction. The comparables range in size from 1,177 to 1,427 square feet of living area and range in age from 60 to 95 years old. Each comparable is reported to have an unfinished basement; two comparables have central air conditioning; three comparables each have 1 fireplace; and four comparables have a garage ranging in size from 325 to 624 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February to December 2023 for prices ranging from \$125,000 to \$275,000 or from \$87.60 to \$193.39 per square foot of living area, including land. Appellant's counsel also submitted a brief describing the similarities of the comparable properties to the subject. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$92,276. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$276,856 or \$225.82 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>2</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a grid analysis with information on three comparable sales located within 1.08 miles of the subject property. The comparables have parcels ranging in size from 10,018 to 39,945 square feet of land area and are improved with 1-story dwellings of wood siding exteriors ranging in size from 1,120 to 1,638 square feet of living area and range in age from 36 to 64 years old. Each dwelling features a basement (two with finished areas), central air conditioning, and a garage or a car port ranging in size from 440 to 624 square feet of building area. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables sold from April 2023 to July 2024 for prices of either \$260,000 or \$369,900 or from \$208.33 to \$232.14 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review also submitted a memorandum critiquing the appellant's comparables and requesting that the subject's assessment be sustained.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. After analyzing the evidence submitted, the Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable #1 due to its significantly older age relative to the subject dwelling, and comparable #3 based on its lack of a garage which is a feature of the subject property. The Board also gave less weight to board

---

<sup>2</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2024.

of review comparable #1 due to its significantly larger dwelling size relative to the subject, and comparable #3 based on lacking a basement, dissimilar to the subject's basement foundation.

On this record, the Board finds the appellant's comparables #2, #4, and #5, along with board of review comparable #2 to be the best evidence of market value as these comparables are most similar overall to the subject property in dwelling size, age, foundation, and some features. However, the appellant's comparables are slightly older in age, have unfinished basements, and lack central air conditioning. Additionally, appellant's comparables #2 and #5, along with board of review comparable #2 have substantially smaller lot sizes relative to the subject's site. These differences from the subject necessitate upward adjustments to the comparables to make them more equivalent to the subject property. Conversely, appellant's comparable #4 has a larger dwelling size relative to the subject, thus suggesting that downward adjustment would be appropriate for this difference from the subject dwelling. The best comparables in this record sold from February to December 2023 for prices ranging from \$160,000 to \$275,000 or from \$116.87 to \$208.33 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$276,856 or \$225.82 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls slightly above the range established by the best comparables in this record in terms of overall value, and significantly above the range on a per square foot of living area basis.

Based on this record, and after applying adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the appellant established by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject property is overvalued and, thus, a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marianne Gunter, by attorney:  
Ronald Kingsley  
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC  
40 Landover Parkway  
Suite 3  
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085