



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mohammad Murrar
DOCKET NO.: 24-01261.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-05-30-203-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mohammad Murrar, the appellant, by attorney Kristin Kladis, of Kladis Law, PC in Chicago; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$30,744
IMPR.: \$144,227
TOTAL: \$174,971

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,488 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001 and is 23 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 695 square foot garage. The property has a 17,528 square foot site and is located in Lockport, Homer Township, Will County.

The appellant's appeal is based on both unequal treatment in the assessment process as well as overvaluation concerning the subject property. In support of these arguments the appellant submitted information on three comparables located within the subject's subdivision and within .4 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,754 to 4,434 square feet of living area. The homes are either 25 or 26 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a basement, and a

garage ranging from 683 to 712 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$113,905 to \$120,870 or from \$30.34 to \$34.24 per square foot of living area. Comparables #2 and #3 sold in March and June 2021 for prices of \$385,000 and \$440,000 or \$99.23 and \$99.38 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$136,166 or \$30.34 per square foot of living area and a reduced total assessment of \$166,910, for an estimated market value of \$500,780 or \$111.58 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$174,971. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$144,227 or \$32.14 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$524,965 or \$116.97 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparables located within .38 of a mile of the subject, four of which are located within the subject's subdivision. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings ranging in size from 3,431 to 4,480 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2000 or 2003. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a basement, and a garage ranging in size from 650 to 832 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 15,328 to 24,901 square feet of land area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$151,536 to \$193,574 or from \$35.39 to \$45.25 per square foot of living area. Three comparables sold from October 2022 to August 2023 for prices ranging from \$477,500 to \$700,000 or from \$120.82 to \$163.63 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends, in part, assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #3, as well as board of review comparable #2, which differ from the subject in dwelling size.

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2024.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 along with board of review comparables #1, #3, #4 and #5, which are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$151,815 to \$193,574 or from \$34.24 to \$45.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$144,227 or \$32.14 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified based on inequity.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the comparable sales submitted by the appellant, as well as board of review comparable #2, which differ from the subject in dwelling size and/or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2024 assessment date at issue.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #3, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features. These two most similar comparables sold in November 2022 and August 2023 for prices of \$477,500 and \$700,000 or for \$120.82 and \$163.63 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$524,965 or \$116.97 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record overall and is below the two best comparables on a price per-square-foot basis. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified on market value grounds.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 21, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mohammad Murrar, by attorney:
Kristin Kladis
Kladis Law, PC
201 W. Lake Street
Suite 164
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Will County Board of Review
Will County Office Building
302 N. Chicago Street
Joliet, IL 60432