

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Todd Burman
DOCKET NO.: 24-00768.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-32-319-034

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Todd Burman, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$57,201 **IMPR.:** \$312,591 **TOTAL:** \$369,792

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2024 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 4,128 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 26 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, five full and one half bathrooms, a 710 square foot garage, an inground swimming pool, and a hot tub. The property has a 14,600 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .41 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,606 to 4,789 square feet of living area. The homes

are 32 to 37 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, two to four full bathrooms, a full or partial basement with two having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 506 to 770 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have an inground swimming pool and one comparable has a hot tub. Two comparables each have an additional half bathroom and one comparable has two half bathrooms. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$242,659 to \$309,688 or from \$63.06 to \$69.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$272,912 or \$66.11 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$369,792. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$312,591 or \$75.72 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within .43 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of one-story or two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,803 to 4,235 square feet of living area. The homes are either 26 or 33 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, four full and one half bathrooms, a full basement with finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 572 to 792 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$305,755 to \$318,278 or from \$75.15 to \$80.41 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #1, which differs from the subject in dwelling size. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparable #3, which differs from the subject in design.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4 along with board of review comparables #1 and #2, which are similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$242,659 to \$314,387 or from \$63.06 to \$80.41 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$312,591 or \$75.72 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the

subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. her
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Michl 214
	Clade Sale Decrete Ter Averal Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Todd Burman, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085