



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Christine Whicher
DOCKET NO.: 23-54530.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 24-04-329-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christine Whicher, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,375
IMPR.: \$13,374
TOTAL: \$17,749

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 743 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 82 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, 1 bathroom and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site located in Oak Lawn, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.72 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of class 2-02, 1-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 720 to 878 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from

68 to 88 years old. The comparables have slab or crawl space foundations, 1 bathroom, 2 fireplaces and a 1½-car to a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$10,155 to \$12,432 or from \$12.46 to \$14.16 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject property of \$17,749. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$13,374 or \$18.00 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of class 2-02, 1-story or 1½-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 738 to 763 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 76 to 99 years old. The comparables have full basements with one having finished area and 1 or 2 bathrooms. Two comparables each have central air conditioning, and three comparables have either a 1-car or a 2½-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,500 to \$18,812 or from \$20.31 to \$25.15 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables which lack a basement foundation relative to the subject's full unfinished basement. In addition, the Board gives less weight to the board of review comparable #2 due to its larger bathroom count and finished basement area when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1, #3 and #4 which are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, bathroom count and foundation type. However, comparables #3 and #4 are 16 years older than the subject and present central air conditioning and/or lack a garage, unlike the subject, suggesting adjustments would be required to these comparables to make them more equivalent to the subject. These three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,500 to \$16,719 or from \$20.31 to \$22.65 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$13,374 or \$18.00 per square foot of living area falls below the improvement assessments of the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not

demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christine Whicher, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602