



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Vasilios Barlas
DOCKET NO.: 23-53149.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-19-323-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vasilios Barlas, the appellant, by George N. Reveliotis, attorney-at-law of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,855
IMPR.: \$29,291
TOTAL: \$39,146

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 7,300 square foot site improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 1,598 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 66 years old. Features of the property include a full basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, 2½ bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property is in Niles, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,372 to 1,800 square feet of living area. The homes are 66 to 74 years old. Four comparables have full basements with one

having finished area, two comparables have unfinished partial basements, and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces, and a 1-car, 1.5-car, 2-car or 2.5-car garage. The comparables also have 1½, 2 or 2½ bathrooms. Five comparables have central air conditioning. These properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .08 to .22 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$22,983 to \$30,612 or from \$14.69 to \$17.43 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$26,415.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,146. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$29,291 or \$18.33 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,439 to 1,721 square feet of living area and are 64 to 67 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, 2 or 2½ bathrooms and a 2-car garage. Three comparables each have one fireplace. These properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are located ¼ of a mile from the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$28,535 to \$31,562 or from \$18.34 to \$19.83 per square foot of living area. The board of review contends the building assessed value per square foot for the comparables are the same or higher than the subject, which supports the assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #6 as well as the board of review comparables that range in size from 1,439 to 1,756 square feet of living area. These homes are also similar to the subject in features with the exceptions being two comparables have ½ or 1 less bathroom than the subject, six of the comparables have one or two fewer fireplaces than the subject, and one comparable has a smaller garage than the subject indicating upward adjustments to the comparables would be appropriate to make them more equivalent to the subject for these differences. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$25,848 to \$31,562 or from \$16.59 to \$19.83 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$29,291 or \$18.33 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record indicating the subject is being assessed equitably. Less weight is given appellant's comparables #3 and #4 as neither property has central air conditioning, unlike the subject property, and comparable #4 differs significantly from the subject in dwelling size. Less weight is given appellant's comparable #5 owing to differences from the subject in foundation. Less weight is given

appellant's comparable #7 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size. Based on this record, after considering the appropriate adjustments to the best comparables, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

July 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Vasilios Barlas, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602