

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Iwona Skotnicki DOCKET NO.: 23-52824.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 18-35-223-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Iwona Skotnicki, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,421 **IMPR.:** \$50,578 **TOTAL:** \$54,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a three-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction containing 5,880 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 46 years old. Features of the building include a basement and six bathrooms. The property has a 9,827 square foot site located in Justice, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 apartment building under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables consisting of class 2-11 properties improved with three-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction that have either 5,880 or 5,925 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 44 to 54 years old. Each comparable has six bathrooms. The appellant indicated "N/A" in the grid analysis of the appeal regarding the basement area for the

subject property and the comparables. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located ½ of a mile from the subject. Comparables #2 and #3 are located along the same street and within the same assessment block as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,579 to \$49,854 or from \$7.92 to \$8.48 per square foot of building area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$46,579.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$54,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$50,578 or \$8.60 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-11 properties improved with three-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction that have either 5,880 or 5,928 square feet of building area. The buildings are either 45 or 46 years old. Each property has a partial or full basement and six bathrooms. Comparable #4 also has a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are located along the same street as the subject property. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$50,713 to \$51,747 or from \$8.62 to \$8.73 per square foot of building area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine equity comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The comparables are similar to the subject in style and exterior construction. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #3 along with the board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 that are most similar to the subject in location, age, size, and features. Each property is located along the same street as the subject property and has 5,880 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 45 to 47 years old. These five comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$46,579 to \$50,781 or from \$7.92 to \$8.64 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$50,578 or \$8.60 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. *Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett*, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not all assessed at identical levels,

all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which exists based on the evidence in this record.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Michl 215
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Iwona Skotnicki 820 Young St. Lemont, IL 60439

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602