



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Parkway Bank Trust 16472  
DOCKET NO.: 23-52809.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 18-36-103-027-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Parkway Bank Trust 16472, the appellant, by George N. Reveliotis, attorney-at-law of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,531  
**IMPR.:** \$18,468  
**TOTAL:** \$22,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a site with 10,070 square feet of land area improved with a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 1,092 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 63 years old. Features of the property include a slab foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and one bathroom.<sup>1</sup> The property is in Bridgeview, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of frame or

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant submitted a copy of the Cook County Assessor's Office property characteristics for the subject property which supported the appellant's description of the subject.

masonry construction that range in size from 1,000 to 1,147 square feet of living area. The homes are from 46 to 79 years old. Each comparable has a crawl space foundation, one bathroom, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .02 to .19 of a mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,030 to \$17,451 or from \$15.03 to \$15.31 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$16,566.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$22,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$18,468 or \$16.91 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,004 to 1,025 square feet of living area. Each home is 64 years old. Each property has a crawl space foundation, central air conditioning, 1 or 1½ bathrooms and a 2-car or 2½-car garage. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject and are in the same block or ¼ of a mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,409 to \$20,035 or from \$18.21 to \$19.55 per square foot of living area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on eleven comparables with the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #5 due to differences from the subject in age. The remaining comparables are similar to the subject in size and age containing from 1,000 to 1,147 square feet of living area and are from 63 to 68 years old. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features that would require adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject property. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$15,030 to \$20,035 or from \$15.03 to \$19.55 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18,468 or \$16.91 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. *Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett*, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not all assessed at identical levels,

all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which exists based on the evidence in this record.

Based on this record, after considering the appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Parkway Bank Trust 16472, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602