



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Alberto Degala
DOCKET NO.: 23-51551.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-20-417-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alberto Degala, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,580
IMPR.: \$25,588
TOTAL: \$34,168

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.¹

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,444 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 64 years old. Features include a partial basement, 2 bathrooms, and a 1-car garage. The property has a 6,600 square foot site and is located in Morton Grove, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted five equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood code and from 0.06 to 0.52 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with class 1-story, 2-03 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,314 to 1,688 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 53 to 72 years old. One comparable has a full basement, one comparable has a slab

¹ The appellant waived the hearing request and requested to have the appeal decided on the written record without objection from the board of review.

foundation, and three comparables each have a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has from 1 to 2 bathrooms and one or two fireplaces. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. Four comparables each have from a 1-car to a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,278 to \$25,355 or from \$13.96 to \$15.02 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$34,168. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$25,588 or \$17.72 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are located on the subject's tax block, two of which are also along the same street. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,188 to 1,282 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 62 to 64 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement, 1.5 or 2 bathrooms, and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,948 to \$25,420 or from \$17.90 to \$20.56 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #4 which differ substantially from the subject in dwelling size, age, or which lack a basement foundation, which the subject features.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1, #2, and #3 which are similar to the subject in location, design/class, age, and dwelling size with varying degrees of similarity in bathroom count, central air conditioning amenity, and other features. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,948 to \$25,420 or from \$17.90 to \$19.91 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25,588 or \$17.72 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall basis but below the range on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

November 25, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Alberto Degala, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602