



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Edyta Szwab  
DOCKET NO.: 23-48503.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 24-17-322-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edyta Szwab, the appellant, by attorney Andrew S. Dziuk, of Andrew Dziuk, Esq. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,020  
**IMPR.:** \$19,980  
**TOTAL:** \$24,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,116 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 48 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 6,700 square foot site and is located in Chicago Ridge, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparables

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board finds the subject dwelling has central air conditioning according to Section III of the appellant's appeal petition and the board of review's grid analysis, and the subject's basement has finished area as reported by the board of review, which was unrefuted by the appellant.

located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.64 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-34, multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,065 to 1,325 square feet of living area. The homes are from 52 to 62 years old. The comparables have partial basements with "N/A" disclosed for the finished area. Each comparable has a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,463 to \$19,010 or from \$14.35 to \$17.03 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$19,980 or \$17.90 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and within approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-34, multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 1,017 or 1,133 square feet of living area. The homes are from 53 to 56 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with finished area and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,774 to \$20,382 or from \$17.99 to \$19.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review comparables #1 and #3 which are less similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, and/or lack a central air conditioning amenity, which is a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #2 and #4. The comparables are overall most similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and other features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$19,774 and \$20,315 or \$19.44 and \$19.98 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$19,980 or \$17.90 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record in terms of an overall improvement assessment basis and falls below on a per square foot of living area basis. After considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not

demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Edyta Szwab, by attorney:  
Andrew S. Dziuk  
Andrew Dziuk, Esq.  
525 North Ada Street  
#29  
Chicago, IL 60642

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602