



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ron Piekarz
DOCKET NO.: 23-46162.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-25-230-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Ron Piekarz, the appellant, by attorney John P. Brady, of Tully & Associates, LTD. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,870
IMPR.: \$44,130
TOTAL: \$63,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) contesting the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 5,180 square feet masonry building perched on a 3,774 square feet parcel in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The 114-year-old, class 2-12 residence per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured 3.5 bathrooms, no air conditioning, a one-car garage, and a full basement.

The appellant pleads assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the subject improvement assessment should be reduced to \$5.61 per living square foot. To show subject assessment nonuniformity, the appellant presented information about eight class 2-12 properties within 1.14 miles of the subject. These suggested comparables each included no garage or a two-car garage, air conditioning in properties #2 through #4, a full basement to slab foundation, and 2.5 to 6.5 bathrooms. The appellant's selections were 93 to 146 years in building age; 2,850 to 8,730 square feet in area; and \$5.61 to \$7.28 per living square foot in assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$44,130, or \$8.52 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$63,000 total subject assessment, the county board of review introduced into evidence four multi-level improvements in the subject’s subarea as assessment comparables. The county board of review’s preferred comparators contained 2.5 to 5.5 bathrooms, air conditioning in properties #3 and #4, a one- or two-car garage, and a full or partial basement. These 110- to 125-year-old improvements were 4,935 to 5,400 square feet in size and \$8.23 to \$12.16 per living square foot in assessment.

At hearing, the appellant described the subject improvement as not owner-occupied, and asserted that of the appellant’s eight comparables, properties #4, #7, and #8 most demonstrated assessment inequity. The board of review countered that appellant comparable #8 was partially assessed at 50% occupancy, which reduced the price per living square foot such that the property’s assessment was not comparable to the subject and the appellant’s inclusion of it in evidence was in bad faith. The appellant rebutted the occupancy factor is irrelevant because it was not publicly available, to which the board of review responded that the information is listed on a website. The appellant asserted that the remaining evidence still proved assessment inequity.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When the ground for appeal is unequal treatment in the assessment, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment should consist of assessment documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant fell short of satisfying this burden of proof.

Of the parties’ submissions, board of review comparables #1 and #4 and appellant comparable #6 most resembled the subject property and thereby serve as subject assessment benchmarks. Board of review comparable #1 had more living square footage, bathrooms, and garage space than the subject improvement. By contrast, board of review comparable #4 had an inferior living area, bathroom count, and basement, for which the comparator compensated with a larger garage and air conditioning. Meanwhile, even without factoring in the occupancy adjustment for appellant comparable #8, only appellant comparable #6 tended to show subject over-assessment because it was passably similar to the subject but had a smaller space, no garage, and an inferior basement and bathroom count. Given this evidence, the subject would be equitably assessed between \$7.10 and \$12.16 per improvement square foot. Because the subject improvement

assessment of \$8.52 per living square foot is in line with the most comparable properties, PTAB finds the appellant did not prove by clear and convincing evidence that a subject assessment reduction is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ron Piekarz, by attorney:
John P. Brady
Tully & Associates, LTD.
222 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1925
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602