



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Seddie Bastanipour
DOCKET NO.: 23-44249.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-33-302-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Seddie Bastanipour, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. O'Malley, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$14,060
IMPR.: \$45,939
TOTAL: \$59,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1½-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,437 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 80 years old. Features of the home include a basement and a 1-car garage. The property has an approximately 12,782 square foot site and is located in Glenview, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables. Three comparables are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and two comparables are located outside of the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1½-story class 2-04

dwelling of frame and masonry, frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,077 to 2,612 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 51 to 81 years old. Four comparables each have one or two fireplaces. Three comparables have a basement and two comparables have a concrete slab foundation. Three comparables have central air conditioning and four comparables each have a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,513 to \$23,800 or from \$8.90 to \$11.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$30,795 or \$8.96 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$59,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$45,939 or \$13.37 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and within a ¼ of a mile or in a subarea of the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1½-story class 2-04 dwellings of frame and masonry, frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,740 to 3,323 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 34 to 82 years old. Each comparable has a fireplace and a 2-car or a 3-car garage. Three comparables have central air conditioning. Two comparables have a basement, one comparable has a crawl space foundation and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,574 to \$53,038 or from \$15.96 to \$16.96 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration with each having varying degrees of similarity to the subject in property characteristics. The appellant's comparables each differ substantially from the subject in dwelling size, location, foundation type, design and/or age. The board of review's comparables differ in age, dwelling size, design and/or foundation type. The parties' comparables would each require appropriate adjustments for two or more of the aforementioned differences, as well as, others, in order to make them more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the parties' comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,800 to \$53,038 or from \$8.90 to \$16.96 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$45,939 or \$13.37 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which, appears to exist on the basis of the evidence in this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

July 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Seddie Bastanipour, by attorney:
Michael R. O'Malley
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602