



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Idriz Mujdragic
DOCKET NO.: 23-41676.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-10-417-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Idriz Mujdragic, the appellant(s), by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,890
IMPR.: \$48,683
TOTAL: \$57,573

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a single-family, two-story dwelling of frame construction containing 2,188 square feet of living area. As of the instant lien date the subject was approximately six years old. Features of the home include a full formal recreation room basement, air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The subject has a 3,175 square foot site and is in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant's appeal is based on assessment equity, and Appellant submitted information on four suggested comparable two-story dwellings. All are frame construction. All have air conditioning. As of the lien date, Appellant's comparables ages range from 9 to 29 years old. Appellant's comparables range in size from 2,189 square feet to 2,505 square feet of living area and improvement assessments from \$14.28 to \$19.65 per square foot of living area. Each comparable has the same

neighborhood code as the subject property, and Appellant provided no further evidence of the comparables' proximity to the subject.

The Cook County Board of Review (BOR) submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$57,573 was disclosed. The subject has a total improvement assessment of \$48,683 or \$22.25 per square foot of living area.

The BOR submitted four equity comparables in support of its final assessment. The properties are described as two-story dwellings, with one located on the same block as the subject, one within the same subarea as the subject, and no evidence of proximity for the other two BOR comparables. The BOR comparables share the same neighborhood code as the subject. All are frame construction. All have air conditioning. As of the lien date the BOR comparables range between three seven years old. The comparables range between 2,188 and 2,240 square feet of building area and have improvement assessments between \$23.17 and \$25.64 per square foot of building area.

Conclusions of Law

Appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal.

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds Appellant did **not** meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is **not** warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be BOR comparables 1 and 2 and Appellant comparable 2. All three comparables are similar to the subject's improvement size. All three comparables are frame construction, same as the subject. All three comparables are within three years of the subject's age. BOR comparable 1 is on the same block as the subject; BOR comparable 2 is in the same subarea as the subject. Appellant did not disclose the proximity of the presented comparables.

These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$19.65 to \$25.18 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22.25 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

Based on this record, the Board finds Appellant did **not** demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is **not** justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

February 18, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Idriz Mujdragic, by attorney:
Brian S. Maher
Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher
1 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1500
Chicago, IL 60602-3992

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602