



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: William Howell  
DOCKET NO.: 23-38916.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 20-11-212-037-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Howell, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$17,060  
**IMPR.:** \$53,940  
**TOTAL:** \$71,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,809 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 131 years old. The property features a full basement,<sup>1</sup> three full bathrooms, central air conditioning and three fireplaces. The property has a 3,412 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-10, old style row house or townhome, property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties differ as the description of the subject dwelling's basement. The appellant reported the subject's basement is finished with a recreation room, while the board of review reported the basement is unfinished.

as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story or three-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,224 to 2,634 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 119 to 135 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area and from one to three full bathrooms. Comparable #4 has an additional half bathroom. Four comparables each have one or three fireplaces and three comparables each have a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$27,119 to \$38,103 or from \$12.19 to \$15.08 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$40,646 or \$14.47 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$71,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$53,940 or \$19.20 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located within the same block as the subject, three of which are also along the same street. The comparables are improved with three-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,502 to 2,970 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 131 or 137 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, two or three full bathrooms and from one to three fireplaces. Comparable #2 has an additional half bathroom, three comparables have central air condition and two comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$48,914 to \$62,125 or from \$19.28 to \$21.15 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables, as well as board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to their substantially smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject and/or they have a garage, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #2, which are located more proximate to the subject and are overall most similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and some features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$53,143 and \$62,125 or \$19.28 and \$20.92 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$53,940 or \$19.20 per square foot

of living area is bracketed the two best comparables in the record in terms of overall improvement assessment but below the comparables on a per square foot of living area basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Howell, by attorney:  
Michael R. O'Malley  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 West Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602