

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Marcela Simkova DOCKET NO.: 23-38636.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 12-24-416-025-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marcela Simkova, the appellant, by Robert Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,983 **IMPR.:** \$12,812 **TOTAL:** \$20,795

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 948 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 68 years old. Features of the property include a full unfinished basement and one bathroom. The property has a 3,990 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant indicated the subject property is an owner-occupied dwelling and contends inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-02 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 946 to 964 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 63 to 74 years old.

Each comparable has a full basement and one bathroom. Three comparables have a 1-car or 1½-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .1 to .7 of a mile from the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$11,600 to \$14,090 or from \$12.21 to \$14.62 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$12,552.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$23,003. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$15,020 or \$15.84 per square foot of living area. The board of review disclosed that 2021 was the first year of the general assessment cycle for the subject property and no township equalization factor was applied by county assessment officials for the 2023 tax year.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-02 properties that are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 816 to 931 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 63 to 79 years old. Each property has a full basement with one having finished area, and one bathroom. Two comparables have central air conditioning and two comparables each have a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located in the same block or ¼ of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$14,020 to \$17,496 or from \$17.18 to \$18.81 per square foot of living area. The board of review contends the building assessed value for the comparables are the same or higher than the subject, which supports the assessed value as equitable.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is appropriate.

Initially, the Board finds section 1910.90(i) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.90(i)) provides:

The Property Tax Appeal Board may take official notice of decisions it has rendered, matters within its specialized knowledge and expertise, and all matters of which the Circuit Courts of this State may take judicial notice.

Pursuant to Section 1910.90(i) the Property Tax Appeal Board takes notice that the subject property was the subject matter of an appeal before this Board for the 2022 tax year under Docket No. 22-36812.001-R-1 in which the Board determined the assessment of the subject property should be reduced to \$20,795.

The Board further finds that section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) states in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The record disclosed that the subject property is an owner-occupied dwelling. As previously stated, the Board takes notice that in Docket No. 22-36812.001-R-1 it issued a decision reducing the assessment of the subject property to \$20,795. The Board further finds that 2022 and 2023 are within the same general assessment period. The record contains no evidence indicating the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's decision for the 2022 tax year was based. Additionally, the record disclosed that no township equalization factor was applied for the 2023 tax year by Cook County assessment officials. For these reasons and pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted to reflect the assessment as established in the Board's decision for the 2022 tax year.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The decisions for the 2022 and 2023 tax years for the subject property are being issued contemporaneously by the Property Tax Appeal Board.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	solet Steffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 19, 2025
	111:11216
	Man Con

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Marcela Simkova, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602