

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mustafa Bojic DOCKET NO.: 23-38289.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-04-228-059-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mustafa Bojic, the appellant, by Robert Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,439 **IMPR.:** \$28,224 **TOTAL:** \$39,663

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 2,016 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 67 years old. Features of the property include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 5,084 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables composed of two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,105 to 2,176 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 64 to 83 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement, central air

conditioning, and one or two fireplaces. Each comparable has two full bathrooms and three comparables have an additional one or two half bathrooms. Three comparables have a two-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from 285 feet to .9 of a mile from the subject property. Comparable #4 is located along the same street as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,216 to \$32,031 or from \$12.16 to \$14.94 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$26,974.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$47,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,561 or \$17.64 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-05 properties improved with two-story dwellings of masonry, frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,512 to 1,878 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 72 to 80 years old. Three comparables have full basements with one having finished area and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Each property has 1½ or 2 bathrooms and a 1-car garage. Two comparables have central air conditioning and three comparables have 1 or 2 fireplaces. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$33,050 to \$42,393 or from \$20.68 to \$23.67 per square foot of living area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted information on eight equity comparables that have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables due to differences from the subject in dwelling size as well as the fact comparable #4 has a slab foundation which is unlike the subject's partial basement. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables that are more similar to the subject in size than are the comparables submitted by the board of review. The appellant's comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features that would require adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject property. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$26,216 to \$32,031 or from \$12.16 to \$14.94 per square foot of living area. The comparable most similar to the subject in location and age is appellant's comparable #4 with an improvement assessment of \$32,031 or \$14.94 per square foot of living area. Appellant's comparable #4 differs from the subject in that the property has one fireplace, a feature the subject does not have, but has no garage which is inferior to the subject's two-car garage. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,561 or \$17.64 per square foot of living area falls above the range established

by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, after considering the appropriate adjustments to the appellant's comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 19, 2025
WillFUL
Clark of the December Ton Asset December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Mustafa Bojic, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602