



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Vu Truong
DOCKET NO.: 23-36498.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-05-117-004-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vu Truong, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$17,802
IMPR.: \$67,015
TOTAL: \$84,817

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,509 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 2 years old. Features include a full basement with finished area, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,870 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that are located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. However, the appellant did not provide the proximity of the comparables to the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and

masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,454 to 2,522 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 20 to 24 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area and central air conditioning. Two comparables each have two fireplaces. Four comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,072 to \$48,472 or from \$18.27 to \$19.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$84,817. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$67,015 or \$26.71 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, one of which is located within the subject's assessment neighborhood code and ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,003 to 2,729 square feet of living area. The homes are either 2 or 4 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. Two comparables each have two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,000 to \$96,000 or from \$27.46 to \$35.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration which are similar to the subject in design/class but have varying degrees of similarity in property characteristics. Each of the parties' comparables have central air conditioning, which the subject lacks. Each of the appellant's comparables is a substantially older home than the subject and the appellant's comparable #2 also lacks a garage which the subject features. Board of review comparable #1 is a substantially smaller home than the subject. Board of review comparables #2 and #3 have significantly higher improvement assessments than the other comparables in this record and are each located within a different assessment neighborhood code than the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,072 to \$96,000 or from \$18.27 to \$35.18 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$67,015 or \$26.71 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on the equity data in this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Vu Truong, by attorney:
Dora Cornelio
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 W. Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602