



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Juan Rosas
DOCKET NO.: 23-33900.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 30-31-325-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Juan Rosas, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,358
IMPR.: \$15,000
TOTAL: \$19,358

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,531 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 56 years old. Features include a slab foundation, 2½ bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,924 square foot site and is located in Lansing, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information, including property characteristic printouts which reported property details not disclosed by the appellant, on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,574 to 1,795 square feet of living area. The homes are either 48 or 56 years old. Three comparables each have a partial basement, one of which has basement finish,

and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has 1½ or 2 bathrooms and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$14,134 to \$17,371 or from \$8.82 to \$9.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$14,254 or \$9.31 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$20,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$16,641 or \$10.87 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,301 to 1,333 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 56 to 63 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which has finished area, 1 or 1½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,281 to \$17,367 or from \$11.62 to \$13.31 per square foot of living area. The board of review asserted the assessed values per square foot for the submitted comparables support the subject's assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration with the same assessment neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #4, as well as the board of review comparables, which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 which are overall most similar to the subject in location, design/class, age, dwelling size, and most features. However, the appellant's comparable #1 has a basement foundation, unlike the subject, and the appellant's comparable #3 is 10 years newer in age than the subject suggesting downward adjustments would be appropriate to make them more equivalent to the subject. Conversely, each comparable has fewer bathrooms and the appellant's comparable #1 has a smaller garage capacity than the subject suggesting upward adjustments for these differences would be appropriate to make them more equivalent to the subject. The two best comparables have improvement assessments of \$14,134 and \$15,545 or \$8.88 to \$9.88 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16,641 or \$10.87 per square foot of living area falls above the two best comparables in this record and appears to be excessive.

Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Juan Rosas, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602