



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Raafat Arman  
DOCKET NO.: 23-33885.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-14-210-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Raafat Arman, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,106  
**IMPR.:** \$12,036  
**TOTAL:** \$16,142

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story, multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,868 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 133 years old. Features include a full basement and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,495 square foot site and is located in Chicago West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and from 0.6 of a mile to 1.0 mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,835 to 2,914 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 107 to 120 years old. Each comparable

has a full basement and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$5,863 to \$9,084 or from \$2.06 to \$3.20 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$7,629.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$16,142. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$12,036 or \$4.20 per square foot of gross building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood and on the same block, as well as along the same street, as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,344 to 3,294 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are either 116 or 117 years old. Three comparables each have a full basement, two of which have finished area, and one comparable has a slab foundation. Two comparables each have a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$12,710 to \$16,931 or from \$4.93 to \$5.67 per square foot of gross building area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 which is located 1.0 mile from the subject, less proximate to the subject than the other comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 which have dissimilar 3-story designs, in contrast to the subject's 2-story design. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparables #2, #3, and #4 due to differences relative to the subject in dwelling size, foundation type, and/or garage amenity.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #1 which are overall most similar to the subject in location, design/class, age, dwelling size and other features. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$5,863 and \$15,677 or \$2.06 to \$4.93 per square foot of gross building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$12,036 or \$4.20 per square foot of gross building area is bracketed by the two best comparables in this record. After considering the necessary adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Raafat Arman, by attorney:  
Brian P. Liston  
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.  
200 S. Wacker Drive  
Suite 820  
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602