



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Chris Brooks  
DOCKET NO.: 23-33167.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 30-07-317-031-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chris Brooks, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,316  
**IMPR.:** \$11,981  
**TOTAL:** \$15,297

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,298 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 52 years old. Features include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,030 square foot lot and is located in Calumet City, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables have sites that range in size from 5,080 to 5,640 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with class 2-34 multi-level dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,246 to 1,303 square feet of living area. The

homes range in age from 47 to 54 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement; however, no data was provided by the appellant concerning finished basement area. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. Each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparable properties sold from February 2021 to May 2023 for prices ranging from \$56,000 to \$135,000 or from \$42.98 to \$108.35 per square foot of living area, land included. The appellant's evidence also included unofficial copies of the deeds associated with these comparable sales. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$10,150 which would reflect a total market value of \$101,500 or \$78.20 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$15,297. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$152,970 or \$117.85 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables have sites that range in size from 5,080 to 6,030 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with class 2-34 multi-level dwellings of masonry exterior construction with either 1,298 or 1,323 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 48 to 53 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The comparable properties sold from January 2021 to November 2023 for prices ranging from \$180,000 to \$205,500 or from \$138.67 to \$158.32 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables since the appellant did not provide data regarding basement finish for these comparables in order to allow the Board to make a meaningful comparative analysis of these comparables to the subject. Additionally, the appellant's comparable #1 lacks central air conditioning, which is a feature of the subject, and the appellant's comparable #4 sold in 2021, less proximate to the subject's January 1, 2023 assessment date under appeal than other comparables in this record. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 with sales in 2021, less proximate than the two remaining board of review comparables.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparable sales #3 and #4. These comparables sold proximate to the subject's January 1, 2023 assessment date under appeal and are identical or nearly identical to the subject in design/class, age, dwelling size, and other features. However, one comparable has a smaller lot size than the subject suggesting an upward adjustment for this difference would be appropriate to make it more equivalent to the subject. The two properties sold for prices of \$180,000 and \$205,500 or \$138.67 and \$158.32 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$152,970 or \$117.85 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls below the two best comparables sales in this record. Based on the evidence in this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Chris Brooks, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602