

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Berooz Afshar
DOCKET NO.: 23-27818.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-23-209-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Berooz Afshar, the appellant, by Jeremy Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,804 **IMPR.:** \$55,860 **TOTAL:** \$62,664

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction containing 3,749 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 17 years old. Features of the property include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 4½ bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has an 11,340 square foot site located in Palatine, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-78 properties improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 3,481 to 3,608 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 16 to 23 years old. Each property has a full basement, central air conditioning,

one fireplace, $2\frac{1}{2}$ or $3\frac{1}{2}$ bathrooms and a 2-car, $2\frac{1}{2}$ -car or 3-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .3 to 1.6 miles from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$47,294 to \$53,850 or from \$13.36 to \$14.93 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$54,136.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$65,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$58,196 or \$15.52 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-34 properties improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,442 to 1,837 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 52 to 55 years old. Each comparable has a partial basement with a formal recreation room, and a 2-car or 2.5-car garage. Two comparable have central air conditioning and two comparables have one fireplace. These properties have 1 or 2 full bathrooms and three comparables have an additional 1 or 2 half bathrooms. The comparables have a different assessment neighborhood code than the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$23,851 to \$31,255 or from \$15.04 to \$19.52 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables as these properties are more similar to the subject in location and improved with dwellings more similar to the subject dwelling in style, age, size and features than are the comparables submitted by the board of review. The appellant's comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$47,294 to \$53,850 or from \$13.36 to \$14.93 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$58,196 or \$15.52 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. her
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 25, 2025
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Berooz Afshar, by attorney: Jeremy Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602