

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: George Georges & Banipal Georges

DOCKET NO.: 23-27040.001-R-1 through 23-27040.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are George Georges & Banipal Georges, the appellants, by Brian P. Liston, attorney-at-law of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
23-27040.001-R-1	09-07-411-036-0000	2,550	10,930	\$13,480
23-27040.002-R-1	09-07-411-037-0000	2,550	10,930	\$13,480

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is composed of two adjacent parcels improved with a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 1,164 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 68 years old. Features of the property include a full basement with a formal recreation room, one fireplace, one bathroom and a one-car garage. The property has a combined 6,000 square foot site located in Des Plaines, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants indicated the subject property is an owner-occupied residence and contend inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on three equity comparables composed of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in

size from 1,008 to 1,293 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 66 to 71 years old. Each property has a full basement, 1 or 1½ bathrooms, and a 1-car or 2-car garage. One comparable has central air conditioning and one comparable has one fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .1 to .8 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$17,486 to \$22,460 or \$17.35 and \$17.37 per square foot of living area. The appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$20,196.

The appellants submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the board of review disclosing the two parcels have a combined total assessment of \$29,172. The subject property has a combined improvement assessment of \$24,072 or \$20.68 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" for parcel number (PIN) 09-07-411-036-0000 and indicated the subject property has a pro-rated improvement assessment of \$20.68 per square foot of living area. The board of review also indicate that 2022 was the first year of the general assessment cycle for the subject property and that no township equalization factor was applied by county assessment officials in the 2023 assessment year.

The board of review assessment equity grid analysis included only PIN 09-07-411-036-0000. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-03 properties improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that have either 1,005 or 1,045 square feet of living area. The homes are 64 or 67 years old. Each property has a full unfinished basement, and one bathroom. Three comparables have a two-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$22,187 to \$26,156 or from \$21.23 to \$26.03 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is appropriate.

Initially, the Board finds section 1910.90(i) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.90(i)) provides:

The Property Tax Appeal Board may take official notice of decisions it has rendered, matters within its specialized knowledge and expertise, and all matters of which the Circuit Courts of this State may take judicial notice.

Pursuant to Section 1910.90(i) the Property Tax Appeal Board takes notice that the subject property was the subject matter of an appeal before this Board for the 2022 assessment year

under Docket No. 22-34674.001 & .002-R-1 in which the Board determined the total combined assessment of the subject property should be reduced to \$26,960.

The Board further finds that section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) states in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The record disclosed that the subject property is an owner-occupied dwelling. As previously stated, the Board takes notice that in Docket No. 22-34674.001 & .002-R-1 it issued a decision reducing the total combined assessment of the subject property to \$26,960. The Board further finds that 2022 and 2023 are within the same general assessment period. The record contains no evidence indicating the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's decision for the 2022 tax year was based. Additionally, the record disclosed that no township equalization factor was applied for the 2023 tax year by Cook County assessment officials. For these reasons and pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted to reflect the assessment as established in the Board's decision for the 2022 tax year.

¹ The decisions for the 2022 and 2023 tax years for the subject property are being issued contemporaneously by the Property Tax Appeal Board.

3 of 6

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Assort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 19, 2025		
WillFUL		
Clark of the December Ton Asset December		

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

George & Banipal Georges, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 200 S. Wacker Drive Suite 820 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602