



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Nick Loustropoulos
DOCKET NO.: 23-25864.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-13-314-022-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nick Loustropoulos, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C., in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,371
IMPR.: \$25,201
TOTAL: \$34,572

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,450 square feet of living area and which is approximately 64 years old. Features include a full basement, central air conditioning, 3 bathrooms, and a two-car garage. The property has an 8,149 square foot site and is located in Morton Grove, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables along with property characteristic printouts. The comparables are each located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and from .8 of a mile to 1-mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03 one-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior

construction which are 63 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1,245 to 1,602 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, 1½ or 2½ bathrooms, and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,966 to \$27,208 or \$16.84 or \$16.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$24,418 or \$16.84 per square foot of living area, which according to the appellant, represents the “median” of the comparables presented.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$34,572. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$25,201 or \$17.38 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and the same block or ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03 one-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction which are 64 or 65 years old. The dwellings contain either 1,160 or 1,508 square feet of living area. The comparables have full or partial basements, 1½ or 2½ bathrooms, and a two-car garage. Two homes each have central air conditioning, and one also has a fireplace. The properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,370 to \$30,370 or from \$19.48 to \$21.87 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject’s assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant’s comparables #2 and #3 along with board of review comparable #3, due to differences in dwelling size of approximately 10% and 20% when compared to the subject and other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity in the record consists of appellant’s comparable #1 as well as board of review comparables #1 and #2, which are each relatively similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size, foundation type, and some features. These best comparables necessitate adjustments to account for differences in bathroom count and fireplace amenity when compared to the subject. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,646 to \$30,370 or from \$16.84 to \$20.14 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25,201 or \$17.38 per square foot of living area

is within the range of the best comparables both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 19, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Nick Loustropoulos, by attorney:
Brian P. Liston
Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C.
200 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 820
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602