



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David Stanko  
DOCKET NO.: 23-25603.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 27-13-407-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Stanko, the appellant(s), by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$6,367  
**IMPR.:** \$27,575  
**TOTAL:** \$33,942

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction, approximately forty-six years old, with 1,730 square feet of living area on a 10,187 square foot site in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as 2-34 under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Features of the home include three full bathrooms, a partial basement, air conditioning and a two-car garage.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject property. The properties offered by the appellant as comparables are each multi-level, 2-34 homes of frame and masonry construction ranging in size from 1,817 to 2,052 square feet of living area. Four of the comparables are fifty-one and one is fifty years-old. The comparables have varying numbers of full bathrooms ranging from one to three and varying

numbers of half bathrooms ranging from none to two. All have partial basements and two-car garages. Four have air conditioning. All are located more than a half-mile from the subject property. Based on this evidence, the appellant is requesting an assessment amount of \$28,840.

The board of review submitted its “Board of Review Notes on Appeal” stating the total assessment for the subject property as \$33,942 including an improvement assessment of \$27,576 or \$15.94 per square foot. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The comparables are all multi-story frame and masonry structures ranging in size from 1,703 to 1,832 square feet of living area and ranging in age from forty to forty-five years-old. Two have two full bathrooms and one half bathroom; one has three full bathrooms and one has a full bathroom and a half bathroom. All have partial basements and air conditioning. Three have two-car garages and one has a two and a half car garage. The board of review reports that each comparable is located on the same block as the subject property.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer asserts assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes, “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const. art. IX, §4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by the appellant by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant **did not** meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment **is not** warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables. These comparable properties are similar to the subject in terms of living area, age, construction and proximity to the subject property. In contrast, appellant's comparables are each at least 0.53 miles in distance from the subject property and appellant's comparable #2 is 322 square feet larger in living area than the subject property. The Board finds that these comparables are afforded less weight based on this distinguishing characteristic. The best evidence comparables ranged in improvement assessment from \$16.57 to \$17.26 per square foot. The subject property's improvement assessment of \$15.94 per square foot falls below the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant **did not**

demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Stanko, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602