



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Megan Kamarchevakul  
DOCKET NO.: 23-25303.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 11-30-110-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Megan Kamarchevakul, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds *A Reduction* in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,587  
**IMPR.:** \$45,532  
**TOTAL:** \$53,119

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 4,212 square feet, multi-unit masonry building perched on a 3,993 square feet parcel in Evanston of Evanston Township, Cook County. The 90-year-old, class 2-11 residence per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured three bathrooms, no air conditioning, a two-car garage, and a full basement.

The appellant pleads assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the subject improvement assessment should be reduced to \$9.72 per living square foot. To show subject assessment nonuniformity, the appellant presented information on four class 2-11 properties within .74 miles of the subject property. These suggested comparables each included no garage or a two-car garage, air conditioning in properties #2 and #3, and a full basement. The appellant's selections spanned 67 to 99 years in building age; 3,982 to 4,349 square feet in improvement area; and \$6.96 to \$10.81 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$54,040, or \$12.83 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$61,626 total subject assessment, the county board of review introduced into evidence four masonry improvements within a quarter mile of the subject as assessment comparables. The county board of review’s preferred comparators contained air conditioning in properties #2 and #4, a one- to three-car garage, and a full basement. These 97- or 99-year-old improvements ranged from 2,780 to 3,368 square feet in size; and \$13.15 to \$16.21 per living square foot in assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment is the basis of a property tax appeal, appellants must prove assessment inequity by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should comprise documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the assessment subject. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant satisfied this burden of proof.

In this record, the board of review introduced into evidence no property within 843 square feet of the subject improvement size. Because no other attributes mitigate the living square footage disparity of the board of review’s evidence, appellant comparables #2 through #4 constitute the best evidence of subject assessment equity. Appellant comparables #2 and #3 lacked air conditioning like the subject and contained more living space than the subject. While appellant comparable #2 also lacked the subject’s garage, it mitigated that difference with a newer building and more bathroom utility. By contrast, appellant comparable #4 did feature a newer improvement with air conditioning as well as two extra half bathrooms, which compensated for the comparator’s smaller improvement and missing garage. Based on the properties in evidence, PTAB concludes the subject improvement would be equitably assessed between \$10.36 and \$10.81 per living square foot. Because the subject’s \$12.83 per improvement square foot assessment exceeds the high end of this range, PTAB finds the appellant showed assessment inequity by clear and convincing evidence and a subject assessment reduction to \$53,119 is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Megan Kamarchevakul, by attorney:  
Abby L. Strauss  
Schiller Law P.C.  
33 North Dearborn  
Suite 1130  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602