



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Janice Cheng
DOCKET NO.: 23-24476.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-22-217-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Janice Cheng, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,489
IMPR.: \$22,343
TOTAL: \$26,832

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) contesting the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1,568 square feet, two-story frame building on a 5,985 square feet lot in Streamwood, Hanover Township, Cook County. The 31-year-old, class 2-07 residence under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured 1.5 bathrooms, air conditioning, a two-car garage, and a full basement. The subject last sold in March 2001 for \$212,000. The appellant waived the right to a hearing on the appeal.

The appellant based the petition on assessment inequity, contending that the assessment should be lowered to \$11.58 per improvement square foot. As evidence of nonuniformity, the appellant nominated four class 2-07 residences within .62 miles of the subject. These suggested comparables featured a two-car garage, 1.5 or two bathrooms, air conditioning except in property #1, and a partial basement or slab foundation. These potential comparator improvements were between 33

and 38 years in age; 1,575 or 1,869 square feet in size; and between \$11.47 and \$11.64 per living square foot in assessment. The appellant waived the right to a hearing of the appeal.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$22,344, or \$14.25 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$26,832 total subject assessment, the county board of review proposed four frame improvements on the subject’s block as equity comparables. The board of review’s preferred comparators all featured a full or crawl-space basement, a two-car garage, air conditioning, and 1.5 bathrooms. These properties were 33 years in building age; 1,568 square feet in living area; and \$15.02 to \$15.63 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When the ground for appeal is unequal treatment in the assessment, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment should consist of documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant fell short of satisfying this burden of proof.

By virtue of their overwhelming similarity to the subject improvement, board of review comparables #1 through #4 most resembled the subject and therefore provide the best evidence of assessment equity in this record. In addition to identically matching the subject’s living square footage, the board of review comparators were indistinguishable from the subject in air conditioning inclusion, garage size, and bathroom count, with only board of review comparable #4 differing in basement type. By contrast, the closest appellant property in evidence to the subject improvement’s size lacked air conditioning. Given this record, the subject would accordingly be assessed between the comparators’ \$15.02 to \$15.63 per improvement square foot range. Because the subject improvement’s \$14.25 per living square foot assessment falls below those of the closest comparators, PTAB concludes the appellant did not demonstrate inequitable subject assessment by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction in the subject assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Janice Cheng, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602