



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ashok Devadas
DOCKET NO.: 23-24273.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-31-402-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Ashok Devadas, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **A Reduction** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,452
IMPR.: \$22,911
TOTAL: \$29,363

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2,427 square feet, two-story building of frame construction on a 7,590 square feet lot in Bartlett, Hanover Township, Cook County. The 27-year-old, class 2-78 property per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance included 2.5 bathrooms, a partial basement, air conditioning, and a three-car garage. The subject last sold in September 1999 for \$292,000. The appellant waived the right to a hearing on the petition.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the subject improvement assessment should be reduced to \$8.66 per improvement square foot. To show that the subject assessment is not uniform, the appellant proposed five class 2-78 properties within .9 miles of the subject as equity comparables. These suggested comparators had 2.5 bathrooms, a two- to three-car garage, air conditioning, and a full basement. The appellant's selections further

ranged between 23 and 29 years in building age; 2,344 and 2,870 in living square footage; and \$7.28 and \$9.44 per improvement square foot in assessment.

The board of review responded that the subject improvement was fairly assessed at \$27,911, or \$11.50 per living square foot in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$34,362 total subject assessment, the county board of review offered information about four frame properties on the subject’s block as assessment benchmarks. The board of review’s preferred comparables all featured 2.5 bathrooms, air conditioning, a two-car garage, and a full basement. These improvements were 23 or 25 years old; 2,101 or 2,144 square feet in area; and \$13.32 to \$15.06 per living square foot in assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation, however; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When a property tax appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment, appellants must prove assessment inequity by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant satisfied this burden of proof.

Because they were most similar to the subject improvement’s size, appellant comparables #2, #3, and #5 constitute the best evidence of assessment equity in this record. Appellant comparables #3 and #5 were nearly identical to the subject, lacking only seven of the subject’s living square feet, with comparable #3 compensating for its smaller garage with an extra fireplace. Meanwhile, appellant comparable #2 was notably smaller than the subject improvement but was otherwise similar to the subject. By contrast, the board of review comparators all significantly differed from the subject improvement in living area, though they were nearest the subject. Given this record, the subject would be equitably assessed from \$8.32 to \$9.44 per improvement square foot. As the subject’s \$11.50 per improvement square foot assessment lands above this range, PTAB finds the appellant sufficiently showed clear and convincing assessment inequity and a reduction in the total subject assessment to \$29,363 is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ashok Devadas, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602