



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Timothy Anderson  
DOCKET NO.: 23-22840.001-R-1 through 23-22840.002-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Timothy Anderson, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

<b>DOCKET NO</b>	<b>PARCEL NUMBER</b>	<b>LAND</b>	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
23-22840.001-R-1	11-07-201-023-0000	32,748	124,252	\$157,000
23-22840.002-R-1	11-07-201-024-0000	32,220	0	\$32,220

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 4,239 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 80 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The two parcels contain 21,656 square feet of land area. The property is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are class 2-06 properties improved with 2-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior

construction ranging in size from 3,646 to 4,777 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 82 to 110 years old and have partial or full basements. The comparables each have central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$84,332 to \$99,350 or from \$20.20 to \$25.00 square feet of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision disclosing the total assessment for both subject parcels of \$189,220. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$124,252 or \$29.31 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. Comparables #1 and #2 are located on the same street and block as the subject. The comparables are class 2-06 properties improved with 2-story dwellings of masonry or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,876 to 4,497 square feet of living area. The homes are 82 to 108 years old. The comparables have partial or full basements, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. Three comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$131,266 to \$177,699 or from \$29.36 to \$45.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #3 and #4 as well as board of review comparable #4 due to differences in age or dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #3 which is an outlier due to its considerably higher improvement assessment in relation to the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2 along with board of review comparables #1 and #2 which overall are more similar to the subject in age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$96,504 to \$136,647 or from \$23.13 to \$33.78 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$124,252 or \$29.31 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did

Docket No: 23-22840.001-R-1 through 23-22840.002-R-1

not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 16, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Timothy Anderson, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC  
40 Skokie Blvd  
Suite 150  
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602