



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Karl Stampfl
DOCKET NO.: 23-22355.001-R-1 through 23-22355.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Karl Stampfl, the appellant(s), by attorney George J. Relias, of Relias Law Group, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
23-22355.001-R-1	05-35-300-018-0000	6,600	1,534	\$8,134
23-22355.002-R-1	05-35-300-022-0000	10,725	131,762	\$142,487

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property has two PINS. The subject property consists of an approximately 4-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction with 3,666 square feet of living area. The property has a 6,300 square foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The subject is classified as a Class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The record reflects that the subject property is owner-occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the subject improvement as the basis of the appeal. The appellant submitted a total assessment value of \$150,621 and an improvement assessment value of 133,296 or \$36.36 per square foot which included both pins. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The suggested comparable properties ranged in size from 3,066 to 3,259 square feet of living area. All of the suggested properties had a full basement. Four of the suggested comparables were listed as having central air conditioning. Four of the comparable properties had a two-car garage. All of the suggested properties were within a range of 0.2 to 0.6 miles to the subject property, and all comparable properties had the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables ranged in age from 2 to 14 years old. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30.59 to \$36.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$145,629.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" which erroneously determined that the subject property had a total assessment of \$142,487 and an improvement assessment of \$131,762 or \$35.94 per square foot of living area which only applied to PIN 05-35-300-022-0000. The board of review omitted the total assessment of \$8,134 for PIN 05-35-300-018-0000. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The suggested comparable properties ranged in size from 3,158 to 3,670 square feet of living area. Each suggested property had a full basement. All of the suggested comparable properties had central air conditioning. All of the comparable properties had a two-car garage. The board of review reported that one of the suggested comparables was located within a quarter mile of the subject property. Each comparable had the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables ranged in age from 5 to 13 years old. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37.38 to \$43.07 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

The appellant submitted a letter of rebuttal to the board of review's evidence. Appellant indicates that board of review's comparables #2, #3, and #4 should not be considered due to having two or three fireplaces compared to the subject property which has one fireplace.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer asserts assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes, "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const. art. IX, §4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by the appellant by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #3, and #4 and the board of review's comparable #1. Like the subject property, these comparables are class 2-78, single-family dwellings. These comparable properties had similarly sized living areas, similar age, similar features and were located within a close degree of proximity to the subject property. In comparison, the board of review's comparables #2, #3 and #4 were located

within an unknown proximity to the subject property and had two or three fireplaces and were therefore given less weight. The appellant's comparable #2 and #5 were given less weight based on having distinguishable characteristics from the subject property, specifically a lack of central air conditioning (comparable #2) and lack of evidence suggesting a garage (comparable #4).

The best evidence comparables ranged in improvement assessment of \$30.59 to \$37.38 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$36.36 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering these comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 15, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Karl Stampfl, by attorney:
George J. Relias
Relias Law Group, Ltd.
141 W Jackson Blvd
Suite 2730
Chicago, IL 60604

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602