



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Alizon Shuldiner
DOCKET NO.: 23-22199.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-13-424-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alizon Shuldiner, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC, in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$26,620
IMPR.: \$55,380
TOTAL: \$82,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,784 square feet of living area and which is approximately 133 years old. Features include a full basement, 3 bathrooms, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 12,100 square foot site and is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and from .2 to .6 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-06 two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction which range in age from 110 to 143 years old. The dwellings range in size from 3,040 to 3,190 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, 3 to 4½

bathrooms, and a one-car to a three-car garage. Three comparables have one, two or four fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$50,095 to \$58,477 or from \$16.15 to \$18.33 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$46,799 or \$16.81 per square foot of living area, which the appellant contends is the “average” of the comparables presented.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$82,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$55,380 or \$19.89 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and the same block as the subject. The comparables consist of one class 2-05 and three class 2-06 two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction which range in age from 119 to 146 years old. The dwellings range in size from 2,184 to 2,695 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, 2 bathrooms, with two comparables each having 1 and 2 half-baths, one or two fireplaces, and a two-car garage. Two homes each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$50,057 to \$92,431 or from \$21.02 to \$34.30 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject’s assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant’s comparables #1, #2 and #4 as well as board of review comparables #2 and #4, which differ in dwelling size by 12% or more when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #1, due to its additional unknown improvements and/or the fact that this property’s improvement assessment appears to be an outlier given the other assessments in the record.

The Board finds the best comparables in this record consist of appellant’s comparable #3 along with board of review comparable #3, which are similar to the subject in location, classification, design, foundation and some features. The best comparables necessitate adjustments for variations in age, bathroom count, fireplace count, and/or dwelling size. The best comparables

have improvement assessments of \$50,095 and \$56,801 or of \$16.48 and \$22.11 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$55,380 or \$19.89 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the best comparables both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

September 16, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Alizon Shuldiner, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602