



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Geoffrey Douglas  
DOCKET NO.: 23-20501.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-07-204-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Geoffrey Douglas, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***a reduction*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,180  
**IMPR.:** \$67,236  
**TOTAL:** \$78,416

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 3,448 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 115 years old. Features of the home include a full basement,<sup>1</sup> central air conditioning and a fireplace. The property has an 8,600 square foot site and is located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties differ as to the basement finish of the subject dwelling. The appellant reported the subject's basement is finished with a recreation room, while the board of review reported the subject has an unfinished basement.

are class 2-06 properties that are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,206 to 3,537 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 103 to 137 years old. The comparables each have a full basement with finished area. One comparable has central air conditioning, three comparables each have a fireplace and each comparable has a one-car or a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessment that range from \$45,874 to \$68,011 or from \$14.21 to \$19.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$65,649 or \$19.04 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$92,190. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$81,010 or \$23.49 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are located within the same block as the subject or approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property, one of which is also along the same street as the subject. The comparables are class 2-06 properties that are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,996 to 3,981 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 115 to 129 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, one of which has finished area. Two comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has a fireplace and two comparables each have either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$72,820 to \$99,820 or from \$24.05 to \$25.07 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4, as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3 due to differences from the subject in age and dwelling size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #5, along with board of review comparable #2, which are overall more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and age. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$61,056 to \$78,860 or from \$17.76 to \$24.05 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$81,010 or \$23.49 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in the record in terms overall improvement

assessment and at the upper end of the range on a per square foot of living area basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is excessive. Therefore, based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 19, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Geoffrey Douglas, by attorney:  
Dora Cornelio  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 W. Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602