

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul & Laurie Eichelman Trust

DOCKET NO.: 23-05300.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-14-207-032

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul & Laurie Eichelman Trust, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$125,920 **IMPR.:** \$301,940 **TOTAL:** \$427,860

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,361 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was built in 2003. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 712 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 12,150 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on May 27, 2021 for a price of \$1,249,000. The appellant completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data disclosing the transaction was not between family members or related corporations, that the subject was sold by Harvey G.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The best description of the subject property was gleaned from the evidence, including the property record card with schematic drawing, presented by the board of review which was unrefuted by the appellant.

Seybold, and had been advertised in the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) for a period of one year using a realtor, Dawn McKenna of Coldwell Banker Realty. The appellant also submitted copies of the settlement statement which disclosed commissions paid to one entity, the PTAX-203 Real Estate Transfer Declaration in support of the subject's sale and sales price, and other supporting closing documentation. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$427,860. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,283,708 or \$294.36 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>2</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood and within 0.47 of a mile from the subject property.<sup>3</sup> The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,418 to 12,365 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story dwellings of brick, frame, or brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,804 to 4,205 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1999 to 2015. The comparables each have a basement, three of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, either one or two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 667 to 827 square feet of building area. The properties sold from October 2021 to October 2023 for prices ranging from \$1,200,000 to \$1,357,000 or from \$285.37 to \$328.60 per square foot of living area, land included. Additional evidence submitted into evidence by the board of review, included copies of the property record cards for the subject and its suggested comparables, as well as a map depicting the location of the parties' comparables in relation to the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant submitted evidence of an arm's length sale of the subject property and the board of review submitted four comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives little weight to the subject's May 2021 sale which occurred almost 20 months prior to the subject's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The best descriptions of the board of review comparables were gleaned from the property record cards presented by the board of review which disclosed three of these comparables have finished basement areas of either 75% or 100% and that the board of review misreported the sizes of several of the comparables' garages in its grid analysis by including the room areas over these garages in their calculations.

January 1, 2023 assessment date at issue, less proximate in time than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the board of review comparable sales. These comparables sold proximate in time to the subject's assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and most features. However, board of review comparables #2, #3, and #4 have basement finish, which the subject lacks, suggesting downward adjustments for this difference would be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. The best comparables sold for prices ranging from \$1,200,000 to \$1,357,000 or from \$285.37 to \$328.60 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,283,708 or \$294.36 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Sobet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 21, 2025
MilAL
Challe of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Paul & Laurie Eichelman Trust, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 200 S. Wacker Drive Suite 820 Chicago, IL 60606

# **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187