



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: The Meadows at Shadow Ridge LLC  
DOCKET NO.: 23-04290.001-C-3 through 23-04290.002-C-3  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are The Meadows at Shadow Ridge LLC, the appellant, by attorney Lisa Ann Johnson, of Amundsen Davis, LLC in St. Louis; the St. Clair County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **St. Clair** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
23-04290.001-C-3	08-15.0-309-036	26,190	309,400	\$335,590
23-04290.002-C-3	08-15.0-309-037	67,096	795,600	\$862,696

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the St. Clair County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with eight 2-story or 3-story apartment buildings of wood siding exterior construction with a combined 80,684 square feet of building area. The buildings were constructed from 1999 to 2009 and range in age from 14 to 24 years old. The buildings have a combined 79 apartment units, consisting of 1-bedroom and 2-bedroom units. The subject property has a combined 5.05-acre site and is located in Belleville, Belleville Township, St. Clair County.

In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located from 2.8 to 5.8 miles from the subject. The parcels range in size from 3.82 to 10.36 acres

of land area and are improved with five to eight 2-story apartment buildings<sup>1</sup> ranging in total combined size from 80,014 to 141,967 square feet of building area. The buildings were constructed from 1977 to 2006 and range in age from 17 to 46 years old. Features include 72 to 120 units, consisting of 1 to 3-bedroom units or 2-bedroom/1.5-bathroom units. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool and a clubhouse and comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$749,056 to \$1,461,525, or from \$9.36 to \$12.62 per square foot of building area, or from \$6,936 to \$14,271 per unit.

The appellant submitted a brief contending the subject has a clubhouse and a swimming pool. The appellant argued comparable #1 is similar to the subject in amenities but is located closer to shopping, dining, and entertainment than the subject; comparable #2 is located in a neighboring town, has more outdoor amenities than the subject, and has park views; comparable #3 is similar to the subject; and comparable #4 has more in-unit amenities than the subject and has updates the subject lacks.

The appellant presented copies of the board of review decisions for the two subject parcels disclosing a combined total equalized assessment of \$1,581,229. The subject has a combined improvement assessment of \$1,487,943, or \$18.44 per square foot of building area, or \$18,835 per unit.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$862,109, or \$10.69 per square foot of building area, or \$10,913 per unit.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing a total combined assessment for the subject of \$1,423,504 prior to equalization. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review asserted the income approach is the best method to value the subject. Using market rents based on apartment.com market trends and deductions of 20% for maintenance, 20% for management fees, and 30% vacancy and collection losses the board of review calculated low and high net income of \$808,738 and \$907,689. The board of review applied a 10% capitalization rate to compute low and high combined values for the subject of \$8,087,376 and \$9,076,891, or low and high combined assessments of \$2,695,792 and \$3,025,630. The board of review presented online printouts from apartment.com to support its estimation of market rents.

The board of review noted the subject has newer buildings, has larger units, and/or is located in a different township than the appellant's comparables. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best evidence of the design of these comparables is found in their property record cards presented by the board of review, which were not refuted by the appellant and disclose some buildings have garden apartments which may have been reported by the appellant as a third story.

treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As an initial matter, the Board gave little weight to the income approach presented by the board of review. The Board finds this market value evidence to be non-responsive to the appellant's assessment inequity argument. Furthermore, the Board finds the board of review's estimations of expenses, vacancy and collection losses, and capitalization rate were not supported.

The Board finds the only evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables presented by the appellant. The Board gave less weight to comparables #1 and #2, which are located in a different township than the subject and differ substantially from the subject in building size, number of units, clubhouse amenity, and/or age.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be comparables #3 and #4, which are more similar to the subject in building size, age, location, site size, and number of units, although comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool unlike the subject, suggesting a downward adjustment to this comparable would be needed to make it more equivalent to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$901,496 and \$1,255,879, or \$10.47 and \$12.62 per square foot of building area, or \$12,521 and \$14,271 per unit. The subject's improvement assessment of \$1,487,943, or \$18.44 per square foot of building area, or \$18,835 per unit falls above the best two comparables in this record and is excessive. Based on this evidence, and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

February 18, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

The Meadows at Shadow Ridge LLC, by attorney:  
Lisa Ann Johnson  
Amundsen Davis, LLC  
120 South Central Avenue  
Suite 700  
St. Louis, MO 63105

COUNTY

St. Clair County Board of Review  
St. Clair County Building  
10 Public Square  
Belleville, IL 62220