

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Robert & Emily Mason DOCKET NO.: 23-02902.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 16-28-108-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robert & Emily Mason, the appellants, by attorney Steven Kandelman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$58,100 **IMPR.:** \$315,310 **TOTAL:** \$373,410

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,915 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006 and is approximately 17 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 504 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 12,325 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on three comparable sales that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code and within 0.80 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have sites with either 8,100 or 9,040 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved

¹ The board of review submitted a property record card that disclosed the subject has a full basement with 1,436 square feet of finished area, which was unrefuted by the appellant in rebuttal.

with 2-story dwellings ranging in size from 3,228 to 4,147 square feet of living area. The homes range from 17 to 21 years old. Each dwelling has a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage that ranges in size from 460 to 680 square feet of building area. The properties sold in either February 2021 or February 2022 for prices ranging from \$725,000 to \$850,000 or from \$204.97 to \$226.16 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$285,218 which reflects a market value of \$855,740 or \$218.58 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$373,410. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,120,342 or \$286.17 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code and within 0.72 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have sites that range from 10,117 to 12,690 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,281 to 4,159 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 10 to 24 years old. The comparables each have a basement, three of which have finished area. The homes each have central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage that ranges in size from 650 to 791 square feet of building area. The properties sold from June 2022 to July 2023 for prices ranging from \$1,375,000 to \$1,699,000 or from \$330.61 to \$433.20 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparables as well as board of review comparable #2. The appellant's comparables have sale dates occurring in 2021, less proximate in time to the subject's January 1, 2023 assessment date at issue than other comparables in this record. Further, the appellant's comparable #2 has a substantially smaller dwelling size than the subject. The appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparable #2 lack basement finish, which is a feature of the subject.

² Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2023.

The Board find the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparable sales #1, #3, and #4. These comparables sold proximate in time to the subject's January 1, 2023 lien date and are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These three properties sold for prices ranging from \$1,375,000 to \$1,699,000 or from \$330.61 to \$433.20 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$1,120,342 or \$286.17 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is not supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
Cl	nairman
a R	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 21, 2025
MilAL
Challe of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Robert & Emily Mason, by attorney: Steven Kandelman Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman 100 North LaSalle St. Suite 1410 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085