

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: William Mosconi DOCKET NO.: 23-02615.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-14-301-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Mosconi, the appellant, by attorney Anthony DeFrenza, of the Law Office of DeFrenza & Mosconi PC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$51,669 **IMPR.:** \$61,653 **TOTAL:** \$113,322

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,028 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1940, is approximately 83 years old, and has a reported effective age of 1981. Features of the home include a basement. The property has a 10,950 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.39 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 3,436 to 9,290 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,878 to

¹ Additional details regarding the subject not reported the appellant are found in the property record card presented by the board of review.

3,148 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 70 to 104 years old. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area, and central air conditioning. Three homes each have one or two fireplaces, and three homes each have a garage ranging in size from 225 to 441 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2022 to September 2023 for prices ranging from \$300,000 to \$700,000 or from \$135.57 to \$222.36 per square foot of living area, including land.

The appellant submitted a brief contending that the subject property had been listed for sale but was not sold. The appellant asserted the subject property is vacant and uninhabitable due to a burst radiator, causing extensive water damage and mold. The appellant submitted copies of delinquent water bills and shut off notices and photographs depicting peeling paint and debris.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$155,591. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$466,820 or \$230.19 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.39 of a mile from the subject. Comparables #2 and #3 are the same sales as the appellant's comparables #3 and #4, respectively, described above. Comparable #1 has a 7,489 square foot site that is improved with a 2-story homes of stucco exterior construction with 2,088 square feet of living area. The home is 103 years old and features a basement, central air conditioning, and a 240 square foot garage. This property sold in September 2022 for a price of \$500,000 or \$239.46 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued the board of review's comparables differ from the subject in location, exterior construction, central air conditioning amenity, and/or garage amenity.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

-

² Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Adm. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2023.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales, with two common sales, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #5, the appellant's comparable #4/board of review's comparable #3, and the board of review's comparable #1, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size, age, and/or site size.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #2 and the appellant's comparable #3/board of review's comparable #2, which sold proximate in time to the assessment date and are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and some features, although these comparables have finished basement area and central air conditioning and one comparable has a garage, none of which are features of the subject, suggesting downward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables sold for prices of \$390,000 and \$450,000 or \$204.51 and \$212.06 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$466,820 or \$230.19 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the two best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Z.J. Ferri	
-	Chairman
a Residence of the second of t	Sovet Staffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 21, 2025
MilAL
Challe of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Mosconi, by attorney: Anthony DeFrenza Law Office of DeFrenza & Mosconi PC 425 Huehl Road Bldg. 13A Northbrook, Il 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085