



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Angela Pop
DOCKET NO.: 23-02126.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-15-304-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Angela Pop, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$22,279
IMPR.: \$64,142
TOTAL: \$86,421

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,716 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1940 and is approximately 83 years old with an effective age of 1965. Features of the home include an unfinished basement and central air conditioning. The parcel has an approximately 33,219 square foot site and is located in Ingleside, Grant Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located in a different assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from 1 mile to 1.73 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,500 to 73,616 square feet of land area. The appellant reported the comparables are improved with 1.5-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,455 to 2,044 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 69 to 74 years old. Two comparables each have a basement, one with finished area. Two comparables each have central air conditioning and a garage containing either 480 or

540 square feet of building area. One comparable has one fireplace. Each comparable has a shed. The comparables sold from April 2022 to April 2023 for prices ranging from \$167,000 to \$240,000 or from \$107.63 to \$138.09 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$86,421. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$259,289 or \$151.10 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from .23 of a mile to 1.2 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 5,038 to 20,038 square feet of land area. The board of review reported the comparables are improved with 1.5-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,212 to 1,494 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1934 to 1960. Three comparables each have a basement, one with a finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and three comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 340 to 720 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 also has a shed. The comparables sold from April 2021 to October 2022 for prices ranging from \$203,000 to \$350,000 or from \$150.70 to \$234.27 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their location in a different neighborhood code when compared to the subject. The Board has also given reduced weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to their sales occurring in 2021, and thus are less likely to be indicative of the subject's market value as of January 1, 2023.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #2. The Board finds that these two comparables sold more proximate in time to the assessment date at issue and are relatively similar to the subject in location, and some features. However, both comparables have smaller dwelling sizes and smaller site sizes when compared to the subject,

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has not published table 3 with the figures for tax year 2023.

with comparable #1 having a finished basement and a garage, suggesting adjustments would be required to make these two comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these two comparables sold in May and October 2022 for prices of \$203,000 and \$350,000 or \$165.17 and \$234.27 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$259,289 or \$151.10 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record on a total market value basis but falls below the two best comparables on a price per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

November 19, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Angela Pop, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085