

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Diane Levy

DOCKET NO.: 23-02016.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-23-409-033

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Diane Levy, the appellant, by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$127,395 **IMPR.:** \$342,278 **TOTAL:** \$469,673

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 4,775 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990 and is 33 years old. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 462 square foot garage. The property has a 20,002 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located from .16 of a mile to 1.35 miles from the subject. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 16,910 to 40,920 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story or 2.5-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,934 to 5,600 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1861 to 2003. Three comparables have basements with two having

finished area. Each home has central air conditioning and one to three fireplaces. Three comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 336 to 1,196 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March to September 2022 for prices ranging from \$899,000 to \$1,500,000 or from \$228.52 to \$289.69 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$469,673. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,409,160 or \$295.11 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment.<sup>1</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located from .24 of a mile to 1.19 miles from the subject. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 18,750 to 19,000 square feet of land area and are improved with 1.75-story or 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,417 to 5,241 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 25 to 34 years old and have basements with finished area. Each home has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 644 to 888 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from August 2022 to May 2023 for prices ranging from \$1,350,000 to \$2,300,000 or from \$305.64 to \$438.85 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven comparables sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables which are less similar to the subject in age and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review comparables which are most similar in age and dwelling size. However, each comparable has basement with finished area and one comparable has an inground swimming pool, suggesting downward adjustments are necessary to make them more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables sold from August 2022 to May 2023 for prices ranging from \$1,350,000 to \$2,300,000 or from \$305.64 to \$438.85. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,409,160 or \$295.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2023.

per square foot of living area, including land, which falls with the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on an overall basis but below on a square foot basis which is logical due to subject's lack of a finished basement. After considering adjustments to the best comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified based on overvaluation.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 19, 2024
	Middle 15
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** 

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Diane Levy, by attorney: Kyle Gordon Kamego Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085