



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Francis De Asis
DOCKET NO.: 23-01846.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-17-402-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Francis De Asis, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$48,818
IMPR.: \$153,318
TOTAL: \$202,136

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction containing 3,378 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1988 and is approximately 35 years old. Features of the home include a full basement that is partially finished, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 3½ bathrooms, and an attached garage with 405 square feet of building area. The property has a 14,263 square foot site in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on nine assessment equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame construction that range in size from 3,360 to 3,504 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 33 to 36 years old. Each property has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, 2½ to 3½ bathrooms,

and a garage ranging in size from 405 to 701 square feet of building area. Eight of the comparables have one or two fireplaces. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from approximately .12 to .51 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$112,480 to \$142,195 or from \$33.03 to \$41.29 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$128,364.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$202,136. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$153,318 or \$45.39 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables each improved with a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction containing 3,378 square feet of living area and built in 1988 or 1989. Each comparable has a basement with three having finished area, central air conditioning, 2½ or 3½ bathrooms, and a garage with either 405 or 672 square feet of building area. Three comparables have one fireplace and comparables #1 and #2 have a shed. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from approximately .26 to .61 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$149,912 to \$158,745 or from \$44.38 to \$46.99 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on thirteen comparables to support their respective positions. The comparables are similar to the subject in location, age, size, and have varying degrees of likeness to the subject dwelling in features. The Board, however, gives less weight to appellant's comparable #4 as the improvement assessment on this comparable is an outlier that is approximately 12% below the next lowest comparable on a per square foot of living area basis. The remaining comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$126,554 to \$158,745 or from \$37.46 to \$46.99 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$153,318 or \$45.39 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. *Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett*, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not all assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which exists based on the evidence in this record.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 15, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Francis De Asis, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085