



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Marianne Seiler
DOCKET NO.: 23-01730.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-11-300-543

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marianne Seiler, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,362
IMPR.: \$116,130
TOTAL: \$123,492

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Preliminary Matter

This appeal was filed on January 30, 2024 by counsel using the Board's Electronic Filing Portal (EFP) (86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.33, effective January 27, 2023). Pursuant to Standing Order #2 issued by the Board on February 14, 2023, the appellant's three additional comparables set forth on additional pages, other than the electronic form Sec. V grid analysis, have been "give[n] . . . zero weight" in this decision and will not be discussed further herein. (See also, 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.80)

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,616 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include a walk-out basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 522 square

foot garage. The property has a 1,616 square foot site and is located in Lake Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on nine equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .17 to .97 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,610 to 1,652 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1983 to 1987. The comparables each have a basement with finished area, eight of which are either walk-out or look-out styles. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 210 to 562 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$104,183 to \$111,459 or from \$64.71 to \$67.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$105,799 or \$65.47 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$123,492. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$116,130 or \$71.86 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on seven equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Four comparables are located either .74 of a mile or 1.03 miles from the subject and three comparables are located within the same block as the subject, where two of these three comparables are also on the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame exterior construction each containing 1,616 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1989 to 1993. The comparables each have a walk-out basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces and a 522 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$116,692 to \$120,400 or from \$72.21 to \$74.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted sixteen equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size and design than are the comparables submitted by the board of review.

The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #6 due to its distant location from the subject being more than one mile away.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1, #2, #3, #4, #5 and #7, which are identical to the subject in dwelling size and design, and similar to the subject in location, age and some features. These best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$116,692 to \$120,400 or from \$72.21 to \$74.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$116,130 or \$71.86 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 15, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marianne Seiler, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085