

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Steve Kania

DOCKET NO.: 23-01728.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-03-206-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steve Kania, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$40,589 **IMPR.:** \$219,431 **TOTAL:** \$260,020

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Preliminary Matter

This appeal was filed on January 30, 2024 by counsel using the Board's Electronic Filing Portal (EFP) (86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.33, effective January 27, 2023). Pursuant to Standing Order #2 issued by the Board on February 14, 2023, the appellant's three additional comparables set forth on additional pages, other than the electronic form Sec. V grid analysis, have been "give[n]... zero weight" in this decision and will not be discussed further herein. (See also, 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.80)

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 4,868 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1987. Features of the

¹ The best description of the subject is found in the subject's property record card provided by the board of review.

home include a walk-out basement with finished area, central air conditioning, four fireplaces and an 805 square foot garage. The property has a 115,152 square foot site and is located in Lake Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on nine equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .40 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,760 to 4,969 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1982 to 2003. The comparables each have a basement, five of which are walk-outs and four have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to four fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 748 to 924 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$142,824 to \$243,347 or from \$37.99 to \$52.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$198,068 or \$40.69 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$260,020. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$219,431 or \$45.08 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located within .48 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review's comparables #1 and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #6, respectively, which were previously described. The board of review's remaining comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 4,410 to 5,150 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1985 to 1995. The comparables each have a basement, three of which are walk-outs and five have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to four fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 648 to 893 square feet of building area. The board of review's comparable #6 has a pool enclosure. These six comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$174,427 to \$239,029 or from \$39.05 to \$47.19 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted fifteen equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as two comparables are common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables, as well as board of review comparables #1, #4 and #8, which differ from the subject in age or dwelling size and/or have unfinished basements when compared to the subject. The board has also given less weight to board of review comparable #6 as it has a pool enclosure, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2, #3, #5 and #7 which have finished basements, like the subject and are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. These best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$187,822 to \$239,029 or from \$39.45 to \$47.19 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$219,431 or \$45.08 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	Midd 215
	Clark of the Dropouts Toy Appeal Doord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Steve Kania, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085