



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Yehoshua Shlafrok
DOCKET NO.: 23-01508.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-101-132

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yehoshua Shlafrok, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$85,878
IMPR.: \$291,627
TOTAL: \$377,505

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,441 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling is approximately 33 years old as it was constructed in 1990 and has a reported effective age of 1998. Features of the home include a full basement with a 2,261 square foot recreation room, 5½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 660 square foot garage. The property has a 14,742 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a brief along with information on three comparable sales located from .68 to .94 of a mile from the subject and within the subject's neighborhood code. The parcels range in

¹ Descriptive details of the subject not provided by the appellant have been drawn from the property record card submitted by the board of review. No rebuttal was filed disputing any of these details.

size from 13,155 to 17,402 square feet of land area which are each improved with a two-story dwelling ranging in age from 33 to 58 years old. The homes range in size from 4,718 to 5,484 square feet of living area. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, 4 or 6 full bathrooms and two comparables each have a half-bathroom, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 572 to 801 square feet of building area. The appellant further reported based upon a Multiple Listing Service data sheet that comparable #3 was a “recent rehab” in 2017. The comparables sold from July 2021 to July 2023 for prices ranging from \$762,500 to \$1,240,000 or from \$161.62 to \$259.36 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$377,505. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,132,628 or \$208.17 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located within .54 of a mile from the subject and within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The parcels range in size from 22,822 to 30,928 square feet of land area which are improved with either a 1.75-story or a 2-story dwelling of brick, stone or stucco exterior construction. The homes range in age from 17 to 27 years old and range in size from 4,353 to 5,927 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements with finished area ranging in size from 2,308 to 3,166 square feet, 5½ or 6½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 720 to 1,222 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from February 2021 to August 2023 for prices of \$1,415,000 to \$1,750,000 or from \$266.16 to \$325.06 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #3 as well as board of review comparable #2, each of which differ more in age and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject property and as compared to other comparables in this record.

² Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the issuance of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish Table 3 with the figures for tax year 2023.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #2 along with board of review comparable sales #1 and #3, which are similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, foundation type, and some features. Adjustments to the comparables are necessary for differences in bathroom count, basement finish and/or garage size to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject property. Board of review comparable #3 also necessitates downward adjustment to account for the pool amenity which is not a feature of the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$1,065,000 to \$1,750,000 or from \$194.20 to \$295.26 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,132,628 or \$208.17 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record and appears logical given appellant's comparable #2 which necessitates upward adjustment for the lack of finished basement area and a downward adjustment for an additional full bathroom when compared to the subject. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject property, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

November 19, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Yehoshua Shlafrok, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085