

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Grace Stutzmann
DOCKET NO.: 23-01371.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-08-206-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Grace Stutzmann, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$71,944 **IMPR.:** \$144,237 **TOTAL:** \$216,181

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,609 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1977 and is approximately 46 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 504 square foot garage. The property has a 12,750 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.28 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 12,750 to 18,927 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes ranging in size from 2,112 to 3,008 square feet of living area. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best evidence of fireplace count is found in the subject's property record card presented by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

dwellings range in age from 48 to 58 years old with comparable #1 reported to have been rehabbed in 2021.<sup>2</sup> Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 575 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from June 2022 to July 2023 for prices ranging from \$520,000 to \$732,000 or from \$209.92 to \$250.00 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$216,181. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$648,608 or \$248.60 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.<sup>3</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.41 of a mile from the subject. Comparable #3 is the same sale as the appellant's comparable #5. The parcels range in size from 12,750 to 20,328 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,200 to 3,256 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 54 to 61 years old. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 440 or a 441 square foot garage. The comparables sold from May 2021 to March 2023 for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$849,000 or from \$250.00 to \$334.65 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight comparable sales, with one common sale, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #3 and #4, the appellant's comparable #5/board of review's comparable #3, and the board of review's comparable #2, which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than the other comparables in this record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appellant presented a listing sheet for comparable #1 indicating it was rehabbed in 2021, has finished basement area, and has two fireplaces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Adm. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Board notes the board of review reported comparable #3, which is common to both parties, has finished basement area.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #4, which sold proximate in time to the assessment date and are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features, although two comparables have larger sites than the subject and three comparables have finished basement area unlike the subject, suggesting downward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$849,000 or from \$209.92 to \$334.65 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$648,608 or \$248.60 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	111:10)1
	Man O
-	Clark of the December Town Association and

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Grace Stutzmann, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085