



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Juan Canela
DOCKET NO.: 23-01239.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-21-207-031

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Juan Canela, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,283
IMPR.: \$118,002
TOTAL: \$136,285

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,724 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1970 and has an effective age of 2001. Features of the home include a finished lower level, central air conditioning, and a garage containing 475 square feet of building area. The property has an 8,276 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within .56 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of split-level dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,456 to 1,800 square feet of living area. The homes were built in either 1970 or 1971. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a finished lower level, and a garage ranging in size from 475 to 635 square feet of

building area. Two comparables each have a fireplace. The appellant noted that comparable #1 was recently renovated and provided a copy of the Multiple Listing Service sheet which notes a rehab in 2004. The parcels range in size from 8,750 to 9,450 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from April 2022 to April 2023 for prices ranging from \$300,000 to \$380,000 or from \$205.56 to \$220.42 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$116,646, for an estimated market value of \$349,973 or \$203.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$136,285. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$408,896 or \$237.18 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within .41 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparable #1 is the same property as appellant comparable #5. The comparables consist of split-level dwellings of frame exterior construction containing either 1,456 or 1,724 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in either 1970 or 1971. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a finished lower level, and a garage containing either 475 or 506 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have a fireplace. The parcels range in size from 8,750 to 11,490 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from May 2021 to August 2022 for prices ranging from \$330,000 to \$380,000 or from \$220.42 to \$247.25 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with one common comparable. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables #2 and #3, which sold less proximate to the January 1, 2023 assessment date at issue given the other sales in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sales and board of review comparable sales #1 and #4, including the common comparable, which are

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2023.

similar to the subject in age, location, and features. These most similar comparables sold from December 2021 to April 2023 for prices ranging from \$300,000 to \$380,000 or from \$205.56 to \$240.38 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$408,896 or \$237.18 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on a per-square-foot basis. While the subject's estimated market value is above the range overall, the Board finds it logical given the subject's newer effective age. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

December 17, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Juan Canela, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085