



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Skylling  
DOCKET NO.: 23-01078.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 06-27-105-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Skylling, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$27,638  
**IMPR.:** \$102,695  
**TOTAL:** \$130,333

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Preliminary Matter**

This appeal was filed on January 26, 2024 by counsel using the Board's Electronic Filing Portal (EFP) (86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.33, effective January 27, 2023). Pursuant to Standing Order #2 issued by the Board on February 14, 2023, the appellant's comparables #10 through #12 set forth on additional pages, other than the electronic form Sec. V grid analysis, have been "give[n] . . . zero weight" in this decision and will not be discussed further herein [comparables #1 through #9 in the additional grid are duplicates of the Sec. V data]. (See also, 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.80)

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 2,750 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1992 and is approximately 31 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace

and a garage with 440 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 23,448 square feet of land area and is located in Grayslake, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on nine suggested equity comparables located within .41 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings that range in size from 2,674 to 2,743 square feet of living area. The dwellings are either 31 or 32 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage containing either 462 or 630 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$92,333 to \$95,402 or from \$34.30 to \$34.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$95,136 or \$34.59 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$130,333. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$102,695 or \$37.34 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables located within .55 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction that range in size from 2,547 to 3,072 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 25 to 31 years old. Each comparable has a basement, three with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 772 square feet of building area. Comparables #3 and #4 each have an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$108,871 to \$120,742 or from \$39.15 to \$42.74 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted the Multiple Listing Service data sheet for the subject property disclosing that the property was listed for sale on June, 11, 2022 for \$445,000 and sold June, 13, 2022 for \$456,000.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted thirteen suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their unfinished basements when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to their inground swimming pool, a feature the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1 and #2. The Board finds that these two comparables are most similar to the subject in location, finished basement, design, dwelling size and features. These two most similar comparables have improvement assessments of \$118,688 and \$120,742 or \$39.15 and \$39.30 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$102,695 or \$37.34 per square foot of living area, falls below the improvement assessments established by the two best comparables contained in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 15, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Skylling, by attorney:  
Ronald Kingsley  
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC  
40 Landover Parkway  
Suite 3  
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085