

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Allan Van Every DOCKET NO.: 23-01070.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-29-112-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Allan Van Every, the appellant, by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$64,072 **IMPR.:** \$345,961 **TOTAL:** \$410,033

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,859 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2011 and is approximately 12 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a garage with 739 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 23,440 square feet of land area and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables located within .55 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction that range in size from 4,415 to 5,214 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2006 to 2009. Each comparable has a basement, one with finished area, central air conditioning, either one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 714 to 818 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from

\$299,902 to \$362,317 or from \$67.93 to \$70.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$337,651 or \$69.49 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$442,812. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$378,740 or \$77.95 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three suggested equity comparables located within .41 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that ranging in size from 4,207 to 4,916 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 8 to 10 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 672 to 748 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has a hot tub and an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$329,740 to \$375,984 or from \$76.48 to \$78.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 due to their substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size and/or the amenity of a swimming pool, a feature the subject lacks. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #1, which appears to be an outlier when compared to the subject and other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2, #3, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparable #3. The Board finds that these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size and features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$345,334 to \$375,984 or from \$68.46 to \$76.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$378,740 or \$77.95 per square foot of living area, falls above the range established by the best comparables contained in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	14:1016
	Mano
	Clark of the Donner of Ton Americal Donnel

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Allan Van Every, by attorney: Kyle Gordon Kamego Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085