

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Michael Vasquez
DOCKET NO.: 23-01060.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-15-304-064

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Vasquez, the appellant, by attorney Kyle Gordon Kamego, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$43,180 **IMPR.:** \$176,137 **TOTAL:** \$219,317

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,780 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2021. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, and a 564 square foot garage. The property has a 5,912 square foot site and is located in Hawthorn Woods, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,834 to 3,175 square feet of living area. The homes were constructed in either 2021 or 2022 and have sites ranging in size from 3,264 to 5,534 square feet of land area. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 421 to 461 square feet of building area. One comparable also has a fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are

located on the same street and within 0.09 of a mile from the subject property. The sales occurred from March to December 2022 for prices ranging from \$599,900 to \$734,700 or from \$211.68 to \$231.40 per square foot of living area, including land. The appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$206,409.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$219,317. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$658,017 or \$236.70 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessments of 33.33%.<sup>1</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,712 to 3,124 square feet of living area. The homes wee constructed from 2020 to 2023 and have sites ranging in size from 3,264 to 6,012 square feet of land area. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 450 to 595 square feet of building area. Two of the comparables also have a fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located on the same street and within 0.08 of a mile from the subject property. The sales occurred from October 2021 to February 2023 for prices ranging from \$656,678 to \$747,549 or from \$239.29 to \$261.01 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's total assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of ten comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 and #4 due to differences from the subject in terms of dwelling size. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #5, based on differences from the subject with respect to dwelling size, and board of review's comparable #2 because it sold less proximate in time to the January 1, 2023, assessment date as other comparables in the record. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the remaining comparable sales, which are improved with dwellings that are relatively similar to the subject in style of construction, age, size, location and features, although adjustments to these comparables to account for differences in lot size and amenities, would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables were constructed from 2020 to 2023, range in size from 2,712 to 2,887 square feet of living area and were sold from March

<sup>1</sup> Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code Section 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for the tax year 2023.

2022 to February 2023 for prices ranging from \$599,900 to \$735,000 or from \$211.68 to \$261.01 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$658,017 or \$236.70 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	111.1016
	Manon
	Cl. d. of the December Town Association of

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Michael Vasquez, by attorney: Kyle Gordon Kamego Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

#### **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085