

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ken Karasek
DOCKET NO.: 23-00520.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-07-102-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ken Karasek, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$10,184 **IMPR.:** \$81,456 **TOTAL:** \$91,640

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood frame construction with 1,782 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1998 and is approximately 25 years old. Features of the home include a partially finished basement, central air conditioning, $2\frac{1}{2}$ bathrooms, and a garage containing 400 square feet of building area. The property has a 9,971 square foot site and is located in Antioch, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on nine equity comparables located within .16 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are described as 2-story dwellings of wood frame construction ranging in size from 1,712 to 1,871 square feet of living area that are either 26 or 27 years old. The comparables each have an unfinished basement, central air

conditioning, 1½ or 2½ bathrooms, and a garage containing 400 square feet of building area. Six comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$70,929 to \$77,697 or from \$40.45 to \$41.95 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment of the subject be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$91,640. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$81,456 or \$45.71 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on nine equity comparables located within .23 or a mile from the subject and in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding construction ranging in size from 1,502 to 1,920 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 20 to 25 years old. Each comparable features a partially finished basement, central air conditioning, and a garage containing 400 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables range in bathroom count from one full and two half baths to 3½ baths. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$71,610 to \$87,416 or from \$45.11 to \$47.68 per square foot of living area. The board of review through the township assessor argued that none of the appellant's comparables have a finished basement like the subject, and that the subject's finished basement alone added \$8,062 to the subject's improvement assessment. Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested a confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eighteen suggested equity comparables in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables based on their lack of finished basement area which is a feature of the subject dwelling. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparables #1, #2, and #8 based on their differing bathroom count and/or dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board finds the board of review comparables #3, #4, #5, #6, #7 and #9 to be most similar to the subject in terms of location, design, age, finished basement area, dwelling size, and other features. These most similar comparables in the record have improvement assessments ranging from \$80,683 to \$84,225 or from \$45.28 to \$46.46 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$81,456 or \$45.71 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best equity comparables contained in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per square foot of living area basis.

Therefore, based on this record, and after considering all the comparables submitted by the parties with emphasis on those properties that are most similar in characteristics to the subject dwelling, and after considering adjustments to the best comparables in this record for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the appellant did not establish by clear and convincing evidence that the subject improvement is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	14:1016
	Mano
	Clark of the Donner of Ton Americal Donnel

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ken Karasek, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085