



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Chris Pack
DOCKET NO.: 23-00498.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-33-207-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chris Pack, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$26,292
IMPR.: \$129,724
TOTAL: \$156,016

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,909 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1998 and is approximately 26 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area,¹ central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 700 square foot garage. The property has a 54,450 square foot site and is located in Fox Lake, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.55 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 20,591 to 31,912 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,695 to

¹ Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellant are found in its property record card presented by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

3,057 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 22 to 26 years old. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 421 to 768 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February 2021 to March 2022 for prices ranging from \$354,000 to \$450,000 or from \$115.80 to \$160.37 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$145,435.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$156,016. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$468,095 or \$160.91 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.²

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a brief from the township assessor contending the appellant's comparables differ from the subject in lot size, bathroom count, basement size, basement finished area, and/or garage size. The township assessor noted the appellant's comparable #1 is a dated sale. The township assessor presented information on four comparable sales located within 0.57 of a mile from the subject and within the same subdivision as the subject. Comparable #1 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The parcels range in size from 22,682 to 33,484 square feet of land area and are improved with 1.5-story, split-level, or 2-story homes of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,016 to 2,806 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 20 to 27 years old. Each home has a basement, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 421 to 768 square feet of building area. Three homes each have a fireplace. The comparables sold from December 2021 to September 2022 for prices ranging from \$348,000 to \$460,000 or from \$133.02 to \$190.97 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales, with one common sale, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other sales in this record. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #2 and #4, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size.

² Section 1910.50(c)(1) of the Board's procedural rules provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.50(c)(1). As of the development of this Final Administrative Decision, the Department of Revenue has not published figures for tax year 2023.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #2, the appellant's comparable #3/board of review's comparable #1, and the board of review's comparable #3, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and some features, although these comparables lack finished basement area that is a feature of the subject and have smaller lots than the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$358,500 to \$460,000 or from \$133.02 to \$163.93 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$468,095 or \$160.91 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in terms of total market value and within the range on a price per square foot basis, which appears to be justified after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 20, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Chris Pack, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
40 Landover Parkway
Suite 3
Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085