

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jeff Brierley

DOCKET NO.: 23-00411.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-12-401-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeff Brierley, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$74,886 **IMPR.:** \$259,879 **TOTAL:** \$334,765

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2023 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,773 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2012 and is approximately 11 years old. Features of the home include a walk-out basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a garage containing 878 square feet of building area. The property has a 55,859 square foot site and is located in North Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within .47 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 5,513 to 6,448 square feet of living area. The homes are 22 to 34 years old. Each dwelling has central air

conditioning, two to four fireplaces, a basement with finished area, three of which are walk-outs, and a garage ranging in size from 962 to 1,301 square feet of building area. The parcels range in size from 44,719 to 56,684 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February 2021 to April 2022 for prices ranging from \$938,800 to \$1,300,000 or from \$169.92 to \$201.61 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$303,157, for an estimated market value of \$909,562 or \$190.56 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$334,765. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,004,395 or \$210.43 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.¹

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within .46 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. Comparable #3 is the same property as appellant comparable #3. The comparables consist of 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 4,812 to 5,513 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1990 to 1997. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, two to five fireplaces, a basement with finished area, two of which are walk-outs and two of which are look-outs, and a garage ranging in size from 888 to 1,157 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The parcels range in size from 40,676 to 88,621 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from December 2021 to October 2023 for prices ranging from \$1,100,000 to \$1,900,000 or from \$199.53 and \$394.85 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board finds the parties' comparables are not truly similar to the subject due to differences in age, nevertheless the Board gives less weight to the shared comparable, appellant's comparables #1, and #2, as well as board of review comparable #4, which differ from the subject in dwelling size, inground swimming pool amenity, and/or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2023 assessment date at issue in this appeal.

¹ Procedural rule Sec. 1910.50(c)(1) provides that in all counties other than Cook, the three-year county wide assessment level as certified by the Department of Revenue will be considered. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.50(c)(1). Prior to the drafting of this decision, the Department of Revenue has yet to publish figures for tax year 2023.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #4 and board of review comparable sales #1, #2, and #5, which are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$938,800 to \$1,400,000 or from \$169.92 to \$255.06 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,004,395 or \$210.43 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	hairman
a R	Aster Soffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 20, 2024
M:11216
Clade of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeff Brierley, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085